



---

Sport, Modernity, and the Body

Author(s): Niko Besnier and Susan Brownell

Source: *Annual Review of Anthropology*, Vol. 41 (2012), pp. 443-459

Published by: Annual Reviews

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23270721>

Accessed: 06-11-2019 12:36 UTC

#### REFERENCES

Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article:

[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23270721?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23270721?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references_tab_contents)

You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references.

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



JSTOR

*Annual Reviews* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Annual Review of Anthropology*

# Sport, Modernity, and the Body

Niko Besnier<sup>1</sup> and Susan Brownell<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sociology and Anthropology, University of Amsterdam, 1012 DK Amsterdam, Netherlands; email: n.besnier@uva.nl

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anthropology, Sociology, and Languages, University of Missouri, St. Louis, Missouri 63121; email: sbrownell@umsl.edu

Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 2012. 41:443–59

First published online as a Review in Advance on July 2, 2012

The *Annual Review of Anthropology* is online at [anthro.annualreviews.org](http://anthro.annualreviews.org)

This article's doi:  
10.1146/annurev-anthro-092611-145934

Copyright © 2012 by Annual Reviews.  
All rights reserved

0084-6570/12/1021-0443\$20.00

## Keywords

performance, colonialism, mobility, globalization, gender

## Abstract

Over the past three decades, the important role that anthropological theory has bestowed on the body, modernity, nationalism, the state, citizenship, transnationalism, globalization, gender, and sexuality has placed sports at the center of questions central to the discipline. New approaches to the body, based on practice theory, view the sporting body as more than just a biological entity, allowing us to observe sports as they “travel” transnationally and illuminating issues relevant to such dynamics as colonialism, globalization, sport mega-events, and labor migration. A distinctly anthropological approach, with its unique research methods, approaches to theory, and holistic thinking, can utilize insights from the constitution of sport as human action to illuminate important social issues in a way that no other discipline can. On this foundation, the anthropology of sport is now poised to make significant contributions to our understanding of central problems in anthropology.

## INTRODUCTION

Sport is a human activity in which the body is the object of most intense scrutiny: trained, disciplined, modified, displayed, evaluated, and commodified, the sporting body is the focus of not only the person who inhabits it but also spectators, trainers, and “owners.” Before the postmodern turn in the 1980s, treatments of sport in British and American anthropology centered on the body as a primarily biological entity. Concurrently, an interest in “traditional” sports and games, primarily based in folklore and in continental Europe, remained wedded to a unilinear modernization paradigm. Both approaches had limitations. The postmodern turn foregrounded the body as a cultural construction, overcoming the limitations of the biological paradigm. It generated a more complex understanding of representation, turning away from essentializing sports and games as the embodiment of a national or ethnic character and replacing modernization paradigms with more complex theories of social development. Although sports are boundary crossers in ways that few realms of social life are, exploring this quality became possible only when sports were unleashed from essentialized concepts of national character anchored in biologized bodies.

By “rescuing sport from the nation” (to paraphrase Duara 1995), new approaches located sports in transnationalism and observed them as they “traveled” across boundaries, drawing attention to colonialism, globalization, sport mega-events, labor migration, and so on. Furthermore, over the past three decades, the important role that anthropological theory has bestowed on the body, nationalism, modernity, globalization, transnationalism, the state, citizenship, gender, and sexuality has placed sports at the core of questions central to the discipline. A distinctly anthropological approach, with its unique research methods, approaches to theory, and holistic thinking, can utilize insights from the constitution of sport as human action to illuminate important social issues in a way that no other discipline can. On this foundation, the

anthropology of sport is now poised to make significant contributions to our understanding of our increasingly global society.

This article, which highlights ethnographically informed works, focuses on the conceptual gains that have accumulated since the late 1980s and aims to provide a research agenda that will further centralize the anthropology of sport. Prior research overviews and attempts to define the field have surveyed what an anthropological approach adds to an understanding of sports (Blanchard & Cheska 1985; Carter 2002; Dyck 2000; Harris & Park 1983; Jonsson & Holthuysen 2011; Palmer 2002; Sands 1999, 2001; Sands & Sands 2010). Here we are equally concerned with what a focus on sports contributes to an understanding of broader anthropological concerns.

## LIMITS OF THE BIOLOGICAL PARADIGM

In the early days of the discipline of anthropology, play, games, and sport were located along an evolutionary continuum from “savage” to “civilized” (see Games and Sport in Exhibition Anthropology, sidebar below). “Salvage anthropology” endeavored to record games and sports along with other cultural practices before they disappeared. Native Americans received particular attention: such practices as Mesoamerican ball games (Whittington 2001), the ritual running race of the Tarahumara (McDougall 2009), and Cherokee stickball (Zogry 2010) still capture anthropological imaginations.

As the twentieth century progressed, increasing numbers of studies were located within a structural-functional framework, answering to the functionalist imperative to explain human activities that seemed nonpurposeful. Play became a source of significant theoretical insights in anthropology and in other disciplines (Avedon & Sutton-Smith 1971, Caillois 1979, Csikszentmihalyi 1975, Huizinga 1970, Roberts et al. 1959, Turner 1982). The Association for the Anthropological Study of Play was founded by Cheska in 1974; it led

to the publication of *The Anthropology of Sport* (Blanchard & Cheska 1985), the first attempt to define the field. These works tended to identify the purpose of play in biological models of “adaptation,” an approach that increasingly became the province of scholars in education and human development. It never found a central place in anthropology, which turned to more cultural approaches (e.g., McMahan 2009).

### THE INTERPRETIVE PARADIGM

Arising out of the same period, work by Geertz and Turner on the cultural meaning of play had a more enduring legacy. Geertz’s (1972) analysis of Balinese cockfighting as “deep play”—play in which the stakes (in this case, gambling bets) are so high as to seem irrational—remains the classic essay of the era. Explaining that what is at stake in Balinese gambling is not money, but status, Geertz (1972, p. 26) turns functionalism on its head by arguing that the cockfight’s primary function is interpretive: It is “a story [the Balinese] tell themselves about themselves.”

Thinking of sports as a story that people tell themselves is thought provoking. One version of this insight, often echoed in popular sports media, is that distinctive playing styles represent “national character” (Archetti 1999; Bellos 2002; Lever 1984; Whiting 1977, 1989). In popular discourse, it is inscribed in fans’ identification with local teams and in global marketing, as illustrated by the New Zealand All Blacks’ performance before each rugby game of a haka, a spectacularly masculine dance borrowed (not without strident controversy) from indigenous Māori people (Jackson & Hokowhitu 2002). But this version of the interpretive approach also magnifies its deficiencies—its lack of attention to the power structures that silence some stories in favor of others, eliding the fact that stories arise out of the interplay between interested actors (Kelly 1998, 2009). In fact, the comparison of playing styles with dominant ideologies can offer surprising results: In Samoa, a society that places high value on communalism, young men

### GAMES AND SPORT IN EXHIBITION ANTHROPOLOGY

An exhibition of world games at the 1893 World’s Fair in Chicago, organized by Stewart Culin, one of the founders of the American Anthropological Association (established in 1902), was very popular. W.J. McGee, the association’s first president, co-organized “Anthropology Days” at the 1904 St. Louis World’s Fair, a “scientific” experiment in which the athletic performances of “savages” on display at the fair were recorded for comparison with those of “civilized men” in the Olympic Games (Brownell 2008a). Parezo (2008) has argued that the folly of the “experiment” helped to push American anthropology away from McGee’s evolutionary paradigm and toward the cultural anthropology advocated by his rival, Franz Boas.

In the twenty-first century, traditional sports and games are regarded as “cultural heritage.” To preserve “ludodiversity” in Flanders, Roland Renson (one of the early members of the Association for the Anthropological Study of Play) led the movement to create the “Sportimonium,” a museum that combines Belgian Olympic history with folk games (which can be played on the grounds or for which the equipment can be checked out). In 2011, it became the first sports-related program to be inscribed in the UNESCO register of best practices for safeguarding intangible cultural heritage.

play the national sport, rugby, with a movement style that foregrounds individualism (Clément 2009).

Turner (1969, 1982) developed his theory of liminality and *communitas* to characterize the different phases of a rite of passage. Liminality, the state of being “betwixt and between,” is characterized by freedom from the constraints of social structure, opening up creative possibilities; this “antistructure” enables initiates to form an egalitarian social bond, “*communitas*.” He argued that liminality and *communitas* also characterize modern “performance genres” like theater, art, music, games—and sports; however, he stopped short of characterizing sport as a liminal genre and instead developed an evolutionary schema in which traditional rituals evolved into multiple “liminoid” genres in modern societies. Although liminality and *communitas* remain influential concepts, the

evolutionary schema did not survive the test of time (Brownell 2001, pp. 30–33).

Turner's student MacAloon (1984, 2006) cautioned against invoking the concept of ritual too indiscriminately. Distinguishing ritual from other types of cultural performance, he melded Turner's concept of performance genres with Bateson's (1972) concept of the "meta-communicative frame" to create "ramified (or nested) performance theory." It distinguishes four interpretive frames that, if not universal, are at least commonly employed cross culturally to give meaning to different performance genres: spectacle, festival, ritual, and game. They embrace each other in a series of concentric frames, with spectacle as the most inclusive and game at the center. The Olympic Games can be understood as a neatly ordered system of nested frames constituting a "performance system." MacAloon's theory has been influential in sport studies, but it never gained widespread traction in anthropology.

## THE PROBLEMS OF TRADITION AND MODERNITY

The unilinear modernization paradigm maintained a fundamental separation between "traditional" (or "folk") and "modern" sports, raising the question of whether athletic contests could be lumped together under a single label. Comparative works typically observed that the English word "sport" did not acquire its contemporary meaning until the late-eighteenth century and that, everywhere in the world, the word "sport" had come to refer to Western sports upon their adoption.

It turned out that even characteristics that at first glance appear central to what a sport is, such as a focus on winning, are not always present in activities that are otherwise sport-like: For the Waiwai on the Guyana-Brazil border, what is primordial in archery is the framing of the masculine body as a social being (Mentore 2005, pp. 211–18); kinship relations figure prominently in the way in which Navajos played basketball (Blanchard

1974); and the Gahuku-Gama of the Papua New Guinea Highlands reconfigured rugby football as a substitute for intertribal feuding, with competitions ending when elders of the opposing groups agreed that a tie has been reached (Read 1965, pp. 150–51). Lévi-Strauss (1962, p. 44) used this last example to distinguish a ritual—an activity in which the goal is to bring participants together—from a game.

One result of the modernization paradigm was that anthropologists ignored sports considered to be "modern" inventions until the shift of the 1980s ended the disciplinary convention of focusing exclusively on cultural practices regarded as premodern. An anthropologically minded historian, Guttman (1978, 1990), came up with an evolutionary schema that resembled Turner's, arguing that premodern sports had a ritual character that disappeared with the emergence of industrial society, replaced by an emphasis on achievement, as seen in sports records and economic productivity. The "from ritual to record" theory has been a topic of heated debate (Carter & Krüger 1990, *Hum. Kinet.* 2001) but still has its adherents.

Anthropologists contributed to this debate by relativizing both "tradition" and "modernity" as cultural constructions, prompting researchers to examine the ways in which Western sports in many parts of the world occupy a privileged position in the imagination precisely because of their identification with modernity. As a result, "traditional" sports sometimes become emblems in struggles against Westernizing modernization. In this vein, Eichberg (1990, 1998) argued that modern sports have made record-setting itself into a ritual so that what Guttman considered to be a "tradition" displaced by modernization, in fact, continues to flourish.

The sport forms that emerged in nineteenth-century Europe radiated out from two European centers, each of which linked sports with masculinity, nationalism, and colonial aspirations. Using gymnastics and calisthenics, the Continental European tradition (the German Turner movement was

most influential worldwide) linked physical education to national strength and racial purity. The British tradition showcased ball games and racing and was imbued with the doctrine of muscular Christianity. Proponents of this doctrine saw the cultivation of the body as a means to an end that consisted of not only Christian faith, but also moral rectitude; racial purity; masculinity; and action in the service of God, country, and empire (MacAloon 2007, Mangan 1981). Many British public schools were run by devotees of muscular Christianity, hence the particular association of sports with public schools and the elite universities into which the latter fed. The British model was exported to North America, where sports found a home in elite universities; the American version evolved and spread along with U.S. imperialist expansion (Dyreson & Mangan 2007, Gems 2006).

In the encounter with “modern” sports, “folk” sports met various fates: They died out, as did Tutsi high jumping (Bale & Sang 1996); they were rationalized as modern sports, as were Chinese martial arts (Brownell 2008b, pp. 49–72); or they became the ground of fierce resistance to colonial hegemony, as did wrestling in India (Alter 1992). Some folk sports have had a complex history of successive disappearance and revival, appropriation and reappropriation, as is the case with surfing, which survived proscription by Calvinist missionaries in nineteenth-century Hawai‘i to eventually become an international competition sport, one of the most exalted symbols of the culture of leisure, and, back in its birthplace, the locus of political struggle over indigenous rights (Finney & Houston 1996, Walker 2008).

The threat to the survival of sporting activities outside of the Western-dominated international performance system has continued to be a concern of scholars since the days of salvage anthropology. This concern linked up with the multicultural movement in Canada to create the Arctic Winter Games in 1970 and the North American Indigenous Games in 1990, both of which feature traditional as well as Olympic

sports (Paraschak 1997). The National Games of Ethnic Minorities of China has been a showcase of ethnic policy since 1952; but at the twelfth installment in 2007, they had fallen into disrepair as a result of the attention paid to the upcoming Beijing Olympics, and most of the participants in the sports were Han students from sports institutes recently recruited to learn the sports just for the Games (Brownell 2011, p. 186). The end of state-supported sport after the fall of socialism produced a backlash in Europe, where sport festivals celebrating alternative local and ethnic identities multiplied rapidly (Eichberg 2008, pp. 360–69).

Supporting the reaction in anthropology of the past two decades against totalizing characterizations of modernity, sport has served as a perfect illustration of the fact that modernity is not a monolithic entity disseminated around the world in a one-way flow. Some sports, such as Gaelic football and hurling, remain deeply local; others, such as soccer football, have become spectacularly global; while yet others, such as baseball, are very important in some regions of the world but not others (Eriksen 2007, Kelly 2007, Klein 2006). The “ownership” of particular sports brings to the fore complex questions of authenticity and appropriation (Kohn 2010). Sport displays an extraordinary malleability as it “travels” across the world and within societies. People readily hybridize sports with different origins, appropriate and reinvent the history of particular sports, and utilize sports to challenge their former colonial masters (Appadurai 1995, Armstrong & Giulianotti 1997, Carter 2008, James 1963). In the annals of anthropology, the most celebrated example—owing to the enduring popularity of the documentary film about it—is the Trobriand Islanders’ appropriation of cricket, originally introduced to them by Methodist missionaries (Leach & Kildea 1975; but also Foster 2006 for a critique). People everywhere actively engage with the new possibilities and new constraints of globalization and configure the modern in accord with the local—a view captured in the coined term “glocalization” (Giulianotti & Robertson 2004, 2007a,b).

## SPORT IN POSTCOLONIALISM

Meanwhile, an increasing number of historical works on sport in colonialism and imperialism resolved the conundrum of the definition of “sport” by exposing the complex array of material and ideological factors that underpin the making of categories and boundaries. The endeavor to merely define “traditional” and “modern” sports masked the reality that the “tradition” in traditional sports was made into a problem by the onslaught of different sports backed by powerful interests, and so “tradition” is more usefully viewed, not as an unchanging quality, but as a product of globalization. An exploration of the classification of “sport” amounts to an exploration of the nature of modernity. Indeed, the emergence of modernity, the emergence of the modern state as a regulatory entity, the ascendance and naturalization of capitalism, and the concomitant ideological transformations of the body and self all figured centrally in the colonial project. Everywhere, the spread of organized, competitive, and team sports was tied to these processes (Bale & Cronin 2003; Besnier 2011, pp. 160–204).

Although the rules of sport may stay constant, meanings diverge. MacAloon (1996, 2006) called sport an “empty form”—a form that has been deracinated and decontextualized through the active suppression (or, minimally, the passive forgetting) of history and context, enabling “refilling” with local meanings. MacAloon’s optimism echoes that of Guttmann (1994) and Maguire (1999) in asserting the potential for the creation of cultural differences through standardized sports. However, it is an open question whether the optimism of Western-based scholars is shared outside the developed West; the Chinese critic Lu (2010, p. 82) argues that Western sport culture has, “like a lawnmower, mowed down the cultural diversity of world sport into neat and tidy rows” (Brownell 2010, p. 72–74).

In fact, closer examination reveals that the struggle to be defined as a legitimate “sport” belongs not only to “traditional” sports, but to “modern” sports as well. Is bodybuilding a

“legitimate” sport or simply the obsession of a small coterie of insecure narcissists (Klein 1993, Linder 2007, Rapport 2010, Stokvis 2006)? Are cockfighting and fox-hunting sports or the barbarous pastimes of Balinese villagers and English aristocrats, respectively (Geertz 1972, Marvin 2010)? Are the sports popular with women that do not conform to the masculine archetype, such as figure skating and gymnastics, truly “sports” (Adams 2011, Kestnbaum 2003)? Where do we place Brazilian capoeira: a martial art, a dance form, or a sport (Aceti 2010, Downey 2008)?

Nowhere are the economic and political interests vested in these questions more exposed than in the political maneuverings surrounding the introduction of new sports into the Olympic Games, which have become increasingly fierce due to the media coverage and financial revenues that the Olympics bring: Advocates of the inclusion of different sports are organizations backed by sporting-goods companies and event sponsors or governments that want their “national” sport to take its place on the world stage, as in the case of Chinese wushu (Brownell 2008b, pp. 49–72) and Indian kabaddi (Alter 2000).

## THE TURNING POINT

Mired in questions about definitions, essentialized ethnic and national characteristics, and biological imperatives, the anthropology of sport remained peripheral to the discipline as a whole. A little-recognized turning point occurred in 1988, when Korean anthropologist Kang, together with the American MacAloon, garnered considerable support from the Seoul Olympic organizing committee to organize a large international conference (Kang et al. 1988). Many prominent theorists in different disciplines were invited, including the anthropologists Edith Turner, Sahlins, Bourdieu, Hannerz, and Appadurai. These theorists seemed to benefit from first-hand observation of a sport mega-event in progress, as they produced influential articles out of the papers first presented there (Appadurai 1995, Bourdieu 1988, Hannerz

1990). The anthropology of sport benefited from finally receiving the attention of leading thinkers, but it was not until two decades later that the disciplinary mainstream, aided by developments in sports history, caught up with the approaches outlined by these scholars. A general approach to sport that was grounded in a cultural theory of the body and performance finally cohered, taking into account transnationalism, colonialism, and globalization. This multifaceted approach had moved the study of sport to the center of the discipline.

### THE SPORTING BODY

Both Mauss (1934) and Elias (1939) utilized the concept “habitus” in their work, but it was its hardly acknowledged borrowing by Bourdieu (1978, 1988, 1999) that finally placed the sporting body at the center of social scientific interest. Habitus refers to a system of enduring dispositions, a habitual way of being, that becomes inculcated in the body as a result of the objective conditions of daily life; it is the “history incarnate in the body” (Bourdieu 1990, p. 190). Bourdieu approached sport as part of his larger quest, synthesizing the work of Mauss and Merleau-Ponty for an understanding of how the body and its practices articulate agents’ embeddedness in structures.

Bourdieu’s practice theory is a deeply politicized analytic program and, as a result, is almost diametrically opposed to the symbolic approaches of Geertz or Turner, in that it posits sporting activities as divisive rather than integrative. Bourdieu’s interest in ongoing social structures meant that he had little to say about the periodic sports events that punctuate them. By contrast, Turner felt that one-off events such as ritual practices, theater performances, and sporting events were more important for the anthropologist than “habits,” because these are the ways in which participants in a culture try to articulate its meaning (Bruner 1986, p. 13).

In his writing on sport and class, Bourdieu sought to understand the way in which different sports inscribed social class onto the body. Even though agents perceive recreational interests

as a matter of “personal taste,” these interests are deeply structural in nature (Laberge & Kay 2002). Although he denied that there is an “objective realism” at work, he explicitly linked the physical attributes of particular sport activities with class habitus. Thus sports such as boxing, football, and rugby express the “instrumental relation to the body itself which the working classes express in all the practices centred on the body” (Bourdieu 1999, p. 438). By contrast, middle-class sports (e.g., walking, jogging, gym work) treat the body as an end in itself and generate a “body-for-others.”

However, the relationship of specific sports (and associated body practices) to specific class locations is historically and spatially unstable. Amateur boxing, for example, was a gentlemanly sport in nineteenth-century Britain (Boddy 2008), whereas in urban North America it is today strongly associated with race and underprivilege (Wacquant 2003). In 1895, rugby fissioned along class lines into two separate sports in Britain, rugby union and rugby league, ostensibly over disagreements about whether players could receive money for playing (Collins 2006). Where they are both practiced, the two sports continue to connote different class positions to this day.

Sport reinforces not only social-class hierarchies but also other forms of social inequality. Sport has played an important role in maintaining the sex-gender system in the West, bolstered by muscular Christianity and its legacy. Although sport’s relation to sex and gender may be configured differently outside the West (Brownell 1995, pp. 213–17; Joo 2012), engagement with the Western-dominated global sports system is inevitably shaped by the fact that the lion’s share of sport industries is marketed as a hypermasculine spectacle for global consumption (Bolin & Granskog 2003, Burstyn 1999, Hartmann-Tews & Pfister 2003). This has the effect of muffling expressions of non-normative genders and sexualities. The veritable obsession in international sports with gender dimorphism, in the form of stringent “sex verification” to ensure a clear separation of the sexes, is well-known (despite the presence



of several mixed-sex sports on the Olympic program). Whether recreational or professional, sports tend to be deeply hostile to lesbian and gay participants (Anderson 2005). This homophobia motivated US decathlon Olympian Tom Waddell to found the Gay Games in 1982, but the organization was successfully sued by the U.S. Olympic Committee in the 1980s to prevent it from using the trademarked word "Olympics," which it had granted permission to some other groups to use, such as the Special Olympics (Symons 2010, pp. 55–58).

The structure of sports often has the effect of circumscribing racial and other minority identities. The exclusion of nonwhite players from rugby football in Apartheid South Africa is well-known (Nauright 2010), but discrimination generally takes more subtle forms, particularly if members of these minorities are essential to the business of the sport. Even when minority identities dominate a particular sport, which is the case in most professional sports today, they are problematized. For example, the stereotypical hypervirility of Polynesian rugby players, on which New Zealand rugby depends (along with the enormous economic interests tied to it), is also yoked in the eyes of the white public with savagery, a lack of discipline, and a propensity to "show off" (Hokowhitu 2004, Teaiwa & Mallon 2005). Politicians and the media in France transformed its multiracial national soccer team, winner of the 1998 World Cup, from a symbol of the success of integrationist republicanism (Dubois 2010), into a pack of arrogant and unpatriotic racialized hoodlums in the 2010 World Cup.

Yet, sport also creates arenas for displays of resistance against social hierarchies, sometimes spectacularly, as illustrated by the memorable Black Power raised fists by US sprinters Tommie Smith and John Carlos at the 1968 Mexico City Olympics in the midst of the Civil Rights movement (Bale & Cronin 2003, Hartmann 2004). More subtly, sport can contradict, if not quite subvert, power dynamics in the society in which it is embedded, as illustrated in Rabinowitz's (1997, pp. 119–45) ethnography of the dynamics between Israeli athletes and

a Palestinian coach on an Israeli basketball team.

One of the deficiencies of practice theory for an anthropology of sport is that it lacks a well-developed concept of culture that can account for the ways in which practice is culturally organized by cultural schemas, myths, symbols, rituals, and so on (Ortner 2006, pp. 11–12). For this reason, "body culture" is a better tool than *habitus*, because it draws on the anthropological concept of culture to contextualize the body within the local meanings that are significant to the people whose bodies are in question. Brownell (1995, pp. 17–21) built on Eichberg's (1998) formulation to define body culture as everything that people do with their bodies (recalling Mauss's "body techniques"), together with the cultural context that shapes the nature of their actions and gives them meaning. Body culture reflects the internalization and incorporation of culture; it is "embodied culture."

## SPORT AS CULTURAL PERFORMANCE

Sport involves both ongoing practices and periodic performative events in a complementary relationship, and the performance of sport presumes an audience. Spectatorship can take different forms, from cheering a school game to watching broadcasts of the Olympic Games alongside billions of others, but it invariably involves a strong emotional component (Cash & Damousi 2009). It also includes being witness to the scandals that befall celebrity athletes with particular frequency, particularly if they have crossed racial or other kinds of social boundaries (Baughman 1995, Krause 1998, Starn 2011).

Although practice theory and postcolonial theory opened up new perspectives on everyday body practices, they had less to say about sport events as a performance genre. Recuperating the fact that sport continues to be ritual, symbol, and play, designed to create liminal spaces in which power and inequality are (at least temporarily) sidelined, allows us to create a more well-rounded theory, which is ignorant

of neither power nor the fact that human beings can still transcend difference and inequality, and strive for communitas—the best example of which include the Olympics, the FIFA (International Federation of Association Football) World Cup in soccer, and comparable events.

Although anthropological theories of ritual have been influential among communications and media scholars studying the Olympic Games and major sports events, anthropologists have been slow on the uptake. One reason is the undertheorization of the “event” in ritual theory: Since the 1980s, ritual theory has been increasingly expanded to the point that even the everyday habits that Turner once disdained are now labeled as “rituals.” For inspiration, we need to turn to communications scholarship, such as Rothenbuhler’s (1988) ethnography of American television viewers’ “living room celebration” of the Los Angeles 1984 Olympic Games. Similarly, the “media event”—a live broadcast of an historic occasion that transfixes a national or worldwide audience, which does not merely watch the event, but celebrates it—draws heavily on Turner’s ritual theory (Dayan & Katz 1992, pp. 1–24). Roche (2000, pp. 1–5) used the term “mega-events” to describe large-scale cultural events that have a dramatic character, mass popular appeal, and international significance.

In short, anthropological theory combined with empirical cases drawn from the world of sport has made important contributions to communications and media studies, yet anthropologists have not taken up media events and mega-events as topics for study (exceptions are Horne & Manzenreiter 2002, 2006; Manzenreiter & Spitaler 2011). Because they are not based in ethnography, the existing theories lack concrete analytical categories derived from the actual experiences of the participants, and the labels “spectacle” and “mega-event” are overused as a “loose, imperial trope for everything dubious about the contemporary world” (MacAloon 2006, p. 15). It is the performance quality of sport, and sport mega-events in particular, that gives it such powerful popular impact, and the anthropology of sport still

awaits the development and systematic application of a fully articulated theory of cultural performance.

## **SPORT, TRANSNATIONALISM, AND LABOR**

We live in an ever more densely connected world in which growing numbers of problems have global impact, such as financial crises, climate change, and social unrest. The scale of these issues requires social scientists to develop better frameworks for analyzing systems and processes on a global scale. International sport provides a valuable lens into globalization because the webs that constitute world sport are a microcosm of those that constitute transnational society as a whole. The threads of this web are composed of sport-governing bodies (typically nongovernmental organizations), nongovernmental organizations concerned with issues other than sport, national governments, corporations, and other institutions; the production chains of sport-merchandise companies and the migration routes of athletes and coaches; the dissemination of television images and media reports of major sport events; and the grassroots networks of fans.

Because these are transnational actors in a world system of sovereign nation-states, sport provides insight into the national structures that still limit transnational action. For example, do supranational bodies such as FIFA and the International Olympic Committee “see like a [territorially bounded] state” (Scott 1998), or do they operate in a completely different paradigm? The European Court of Justice’s landmark 1995 “Bosman ruling” against the bodies that regulate professional soccer football in Belgium and Europe—which ended clubs’ monetary demands in the transfer of players as well as some citizenship restrictions on team compositions—demonstrated that in some ways the sport system was more restrictive of transnational mobility than the labor law of the European Union (Lanfranchi & Taylor 2001, pp. 213–29).

Klein's meticulous ethnographies of baseball in Dominican Republic academies (Klein 1991) and on the US-Mexico border (Klein 1997) provide rich material about the interplay of nationalism and transnationalism, but owing to sport's marginality in the discipline, his work did not gain the attention that it merited. The theoretical explication of transnationalism and globalization in sport has been largely undertaken by sociologists (most notably, Giulianotti & Robertson 2004, 2007b, 2009; Maguire 1999, 2005), but the development of theory has been hampered by a lack of areal expertise and on-the-ground fieldwork in non-Western and developing societies. The anthropology of sport still awaits a truly global synthesis of theories of transnationalism and globalization with ethnographic case studies.

Athletes and trainers form an increasingly mobile category of migrant labor facilitated by a transnational network of agents in multiple locations, including teammates, recruiters, managers, trainers, and other brokers, as well as relatives, friends, covillagers, religious and secular leaders, state agents, other institutional authorities, and members of the public. In the last decades of the twentieth century, universities, clubs, and teams began searching for athletic talent across a much broader swath of the planet. This expansion of the talent pool coincided with the much-heralded emergence of globalization, but it was also motivated by the increasing corporatization and commodification of sport, which had gradually turned the competition for athletes into a matter of money and often lots of it (Bale 1991, Bale & Maguire 1994, Kelly 2006, Lanfranchi & Taylor 2001, Taylor 2006).

Many of the resulting migrations reversed former colonial linkages by drawing athletes from the global South to the global North (Magee & Sugden 2002). European clubs run "football farms" in West Africa, and North American teams run "baseball farms" in the Dominican Republic. However, there were also some spectacular indicators of the shift in the global balance of power from East to West, such as the increasing prominence in Japanese sumo

of wrestlers from Mongolia, countries of the former Soviet Union, and the Pacific Islands (Tierney 2007).

Unlike other forms of migration, sport migrations invoke dreams of sudden success and wealth, which the migration of laborers or domestic workers never invokes, but which articulates with the millenarianism of "casino capitalism" (Comaroff & Comaroff 2000, Strange 1986). The resulting politics of hope has a number of distinctive characteristics: It is grounded in the very physicality of the athlete's body; it targets material rewards of millenarian proportions; and it is fueled by the possibility of popular recognition on an "even field" by citizens of the industrial world, who in some cases are the symbolic heirs of former colonizers. In many cases, this politics of hope rubs shoulders with the reality of disappointment and exploitation. Young hopefuls are exposed to exploitation in the form of human trafficking, including clandestine border crossing, procurement of faked documents, and deceitful promises of employment (Alegi 2010; Carter 2007, 2011; Darby 2000).

The migration of athletes can represent different things for different agents: West African soccer national teams' decrying of what Bale (1991) memorably termed the "brawn drain" contrasts sharply with the Tongan state's enthusiastic investment in the production and export of rugby talent (see Andreff 2006, Besnier 2012, Hoberman 2007). These different positions refract different ways of conceptualizing citizenship, migration, and development.

## **SPORT, NATIONALISM, AND CITIZENSHIP**

More than in any other field, sport as spectacle is a means through which the state displays its legitimacy to other states and other societies as well as to its own citizenry. Theorists such as Foucault (1977, 1978) can further extend our understanding of the global ethnoscapes of sport with their insight that the state is more than just national governments. State projects to "integrate," "modernize," and "empower"

ethnic minorities, poor people, and other “embarrassing” groups through sports segue into the complicated questions of citizenship and national belonging that surround professional athletes and star athletes who “represent” nations in the Olympics and other global competitions. Some scholars have hailed the power of sports as a vehicle for the integration of immigrant and other minorities into dominant society (Henry 2005, *Inst. Sport Leis. Policy* 2004, Kennett 2004), although others have also demonstrated the limitations of this position (Cronin & Mayall 2003, MacClancy 1996, Shor & Yonay 2011, Sorek 2010). The assumption is that partaking in sport activities is a matter of the individual rights of citizenship that should be guaranteed to members of minority groups (and which they are supposed to embrace enthusiastically). Conceptualizing access to sport as a matter of rights emerged out of the anti-Apartheid movement (Nauright 2010), and it was later extended from issues of race to issues of gender and, in the context of mega-events such as the Olympics, to the rights of all those whose lives were affected by these events.

The European Union’s view of sport as a means of assimilating immigrants by extending this individual right of citizenship to them is in direct opposition to the original North American conception of multiculturalism, which was a reaction against the traditional “melting-pot” approach to assimilation. Thus, the Arctic Winter Games and North American Indigenous Games manifested the Canadian use of sport as an expression of ethnic self-determination.

Nowhere is the intertwining of nationalism (and localism) with masculinity rendered more visible than in sport, the site of what Billig (1995) terms “banal nationalism” and a prime instrument for the socialization of children to both nationalism and gender (Dyck 2010). It is seen in the convergence of extreme forms of masculinity, nationalism, and xenophobia in football hooliganism (Armstrong 1998, Buford 1993); martial sporting events in the service of the nation in Nazi Germany, the USSR, and China; or Western European efforts to promote recreational sports as an integrative mechanism

to defuse the youthful masculinity of “problematic” minorities (Silverstein 2000).

At the same time, the configuration of professional sports in late capitalism poses two thorny contradictions for masculinity, nationalism (or localism), and sport. The first is the purchase of local teams by corporations or owners with no particular attachment to local contexts; these teams may then be transformed into products to be consumed transnationally, their ties to their country or city of origin having become only a minor aspect of this consumption (Miller et al. 1999). Thus Manchester United, the Chicago Bulls, and the New Zealand All Blacks, while ostensibly representing particular locations, are primarily products that can be purchased in the form of fan-club memberships anywhere in the world or through clothing-label franchises. The second is the contradiction embedded in the fact that teams are now staffed by large numbers of migrants (or the offspring of migrants), yet they symbolize a deeply local masculinized identity that continues to be central to their marketing due to fans’ identification with local teams, which translates into sales of tickets and licensed products, fan-club subscriptions, and TV ratings.

Both contradictions have important implications for our understanding of the relationships among transnationalism, nationalism, and localism as well as masculinity and belonging in the contemporary world. These issues are rarely tackled in a sustained fashion and have never been posed from the perspectives of migrant team-sport athletes who embody the pride of local and national communities that are not necessarily benign to them. The tense politics of autochthony and belonging that dominates the public sphere in France, Great Britain, and the Netherlands, among others, easily rears its aggressive head when a racialized foreign player misses a goal on the soccer football field, for example.

## CENTRALIZING SPORT IN ANTHROPOLOGY

The institutions that govern sport crosscut local, national, regional, international, and

global structures in ways that highlight important theoretical issues. Sport is an important realm of anthropological inquiry because it provides a nexus of body, multiplex identities, and multilayered governance structures, combined with a performance genre that possesses qualities of play, liminality, and storytelling, that enables us to explore the connections among these dynamics in a unique way. Recent works have been concerned with what anthropology can bring to sport, but this essay draws attention to what sport can bring to anthropology. Reconceptualizing the body as a cultural construction makes it possible to

look at how sport “travels” across boundaries and opens up a space for examining how sport creates connections between peoples at the same time that it strengthens local and national identities.

Sport provides a novel angle for the investigation of fundamental questions in contemporary anthropology. A synthesis of the two approaches to sport represented in the history of anthropology—sport as play and sport as the serious life, sport as cultural performance and sport as everyday practice—provides the key to unlock the study of sport and allow it to move to the center of the discipline in this global era.

### FUTURE ISSUES

1. Much work currently exists on the body within the nation-state, but the body in structures beyond the state remains to be explored.
2. We need more ethnography exploring the dynamic in which bodies and capitalism mutually construct each other: How do commodification and corporatization shape the bodies that are valued, and how does the valuation of bodies shape commodification?
3. We also need a more truly “global” synthesis of theories of transnationalism and globalization with ethnographic research on non-Western and developing societies to complement the primarily historical, Western, and macrosociological focus of existing work.
4. The anthropology of sport still awaits the development and systematic application of a fully articulated theory of cultural performance. Ethnography has much to contribute to the understanding not only of the organization of sport mega-events, but also of their “legacy” (to use the current jargon word) for everyday people in host cities and countries.
5. What is the place of play and pleasure in this lucrative, competitive field?
6. As a research method, ethnography could contribute to understanding the social problems in sport, such as doping, homophobia, sexism, exploitation of migrant and child labor, the loss of “traditional” games and sports, and so on.

### DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Aceti M. 2010. Ethnographie multi-située de la capoeira: de la diffusion d’une pratique “sportive” afro-brésilienne à un rituel d’énergie interculturel. *ethnographiques.org* 20; <http://www.ethnographiques.org/2010/Aceti>
- Adams ML. 2011. *Artistic Impressions: Figure Skating, Masculinity, and the Limits of Sport*. Toronto: Univ. Tor. Press

- Alegi P. 2010. *African Soccerescapes: How a Continent Changed the World's Game*. Athens, OH: Ohio Univ. Press
- Alter JS. 1992. *The Wrestler's Body: Identity and Ideology in North India*. Berkeley: Univ. Calif. Press
- Alter JS. 2000. Kabaddi, a national sport of India: the internationalism of nationalism and the foreignness of Indianness. See Dyck 2000, pp. 81–116
- Anderson E. 2005. In *The Game: Gay Athletes and the Cult of Masculinity*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press
- Andreff W. 2006. Sport in developing countries. In *Handbook on the Economics of Sport*, ed. W Andreff, S Szymanski, pp. 308–15. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar
- Appadurai A. 1995. Playing with modernity: the decolonization of Indian cricket. In *Consuming Modernity: Public Culture in a South Asian World*, ed. CA Breckenridge, pp. 23–48. Minneapolis: Univ. Minn. Press
- Archetti E. 1999. *Masculinities: Football, Polo and the Tango in Argentina*. Oxford: Berg
- Armstrong G. 1998. *Football Hooligans: Knowing the Score*. Oxford: Berg
- Armstrong G, Giulianotti R. 1997. Introduction: reclaiming the game—an introduction to the anthropology of football. In *Entering the Field: New Perspectives on World Football*, ed. G Armstrong, R Giulianotti, pp. 1–30. Oxford: Berg
- Avedon EM, Sutton-Smith B. 1971. *The Study of Games*. New York: John Wiley
- Bale J. 1991. *The Brawn Drain: Foreign Student-Athletes in American Universities*. Urbana, IL: Univ. Ill. Press
- Bale J, Cronin M. 2003. *Sport and Postcolonialism*. Oxford: Berg
- Bale J, Maguire J, eds. 1994. *The Global Sports Arena: Athletic Talent Migration in an Interdependent World*. London: Frank Cass
- Bale J, Sang J. 1996. *Kenyan Running: Movement Culture, Geography, and Global Change*. London: Frank Cass
- Bateson G. 1972. *Steps to an Ecology of Mind: Collected Essays in Anthropology, Psychiatry, Evolution, and Epistemology*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Baughman C, ed. 1995. *Women On Ice: Feminist Responses to the Tonya Harding/Nancy Kerrigan Spectacle*. London: Routledge
- Bellos A. 2002. *Futebol: The Brazilian Way*. New York: Bloomsbury
- Besnier N. 2011. *On the Edge of the Global: Modern Anxieties in a Pacific Island Nation*. Stanford, CA: Stanford Univ. Press
- Besnier N. 2012. The athlete's body and the global condition: Tongan rugby players in Japan. *Am. Ethnol.* 39:491–510
- Billig M. 1995. *Banal Nationalism*. London: Sage
- Blanchard K. 1974. Basketball and the culture-change process: the Rimrock Navajo case. *Counc. Anthropol. Educ. Q.* 5(4):8–13
- Blanchard K, Cheska A. 1985. *The Anthropology of Sport: An Introduction*. South Hadley, MA: Bergin & Garvey
- Boddy K. 2008. *Boxing: A Cultural History*. London: Reaktion
- Bolin A, Granskog J. 2003. *Athletic Intruders: Ethnographic Research on Women, Culture, and Exercise*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press
- Bourdieu P. 1978. Sport and social class. *Soc. Sci. Inf.* 17:819–40
- Bourdieu P. 1988. Program for a sociology of sport. *Sociol. Sport J.* 5:153–61
- Bourdieu P. 1990. In *Other Words: Essays for a Reflexive Sociology*. Stanford, CA: Stanford Univ. Press
- Bourdieu P. 1999. How can one be a sports fan? In *The Cultural Studies Reader*, ed. S During, pp. 427–40. London: Routledge. 2nd ed.
- Brownell S. 1995. *Training the Body for China: Sports in the Moral Order of the People's Republic*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Brownell S. 2001. The problems with ritual and modernization theory, and why we need Marx: a commentary on *From Ritual to Record*. *Sport Hist. Rev.* 32(1):28–41
- Brownell S, ed. 2008a. *The 1904 Anthropology Days and Olympic Games*. Lincoln: Univ. Neb. Press
- Brownell S. 2008b. *Beijing's Games: What the Olympics Mean to China*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield
- Brownell S. 2010. Multiculturalism in the Olympic movement. See Ren et al. 2010, pp. 61–80
- Brownell S. 2011. The Beijing Olympics as a turning point? China's first Olympics in East Asian perspective. In *The Olympics in East Asia: The Crucible of Localism, Nationalism, Regionalism, and Globalism*, ed. W Kelly, S Brownell, pp. 185–203. New Haven, CT: Yale Counc. East Asian Stud. Monogr. Ser.
- Bruner EM. 1986. Experience and its expressions. In *The Anthropology of Experience*, ed. V Turner, EM Bruner, pp. 3–30. Urbana: Univ. Ill. Press

- Buford B. 1993. *Among the Thugs*. New York: Vintage
- Burstyn V. 1999. *The Rites of Men: Manhood, Politics, and the Culture of Sport*. Toronto: Univ. Tor. Press
- Caillois R. *Man, Play and Games*. Champaign: Univ. Ill. Press
- Carter A, Krüger R, eds. 1990. *Ritual and Record: Sports Records and Quantification in Pre-Modern Societies*. Westport, CT: Greenwood
- Carter TF. 2002. On the need for an anthropological approach to sport. *Identities Glob. Stud. Cult. Power* 9:405–22
- Carter TF. 2007. Family networks, state interventions and the experience of Cuban transnational sport migration. *Int. Rev. Sociol. Sport* 42:371–89
- Carter TF. 2008. *The Quality of Home Runs: The Passion, Politics, and Language of Cuban Baseball*. Durham, NC: Duke Univ. Press
- Carter TF. 2011. In *Foreign Fields: The Politics and Experiences of Transnational Sport Migration*. London: Pluto
- Cash J, Damousi J. 2009. *Footie Passions*. Sydney: Univ. N. S. W. Press
- Clément J. 2009. *Le rugby de Samoa: les techniques du corps entre Fa'a Sāmoa et mondialisation du sport*. PhD thesis. Univ. Provence, Aix-Marseille
- Coleman S, Kohn T, eds. 2010. *The Discipline of Leisure: Embodying Culture of "Recreation."* Oxford: Berghahn
- Collins T. 2006. *Rugby's Great Split: Class, Culture and the Origins of Rugby League Football*. London: Routledge. 2nd ed.
- Comaroff J, Comaroff JL. 2000. Millennial capitalism: first thoughts on a second coming. *Public Cult.* 12:291–343
- Cronin M, Mayall D, eds. 2003. *Sporting Nationalisms: Identity, Ethnicity, Immigration and Assimilation*. London: Routledge
- Csikszentmihalyi M. 1975. *Beyond Boredom and Anxiety: Experiencing Flow in Work and Play*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Darby P. 2000. The new scramble for Africa: African football labour migration to Europe. *Eur. Sports Hist. Rev.* 3:217–44
- Dayan D, Katz E. 1992. *Media Events: The Live Broadcasting of History*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press
- Downey G. 2008. Scaffolding imitation in capoeira: physical education and enculturation in an Afro-Brazilian. *Art. Am. Anthropol.* 110:204–13
- Duara P. 1995. *Rescuing History from the Nation: Questioning Narratives of Modern China*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Dubois L. 2010. *Soccer Empire: The World Cup and the Future of France*. Berkeley: Univ. Calif. Press
- Dyck N, ed. 2000. *Games, Sports and Cultures*. Oxford: Berg
- Dyck N. 2010. Remembering and the ethnography of children's sports. In *The Ethnographic Self As Resource: Writing Memory and Experience Into Ethnography*, ed. P Collins, A Gallinat, pp. 150–64. Oxford: Berghahn
- Dyreson M, Mangan JA, eds. 2007. *Sport and American Society: Exceptionalism, Insularity and "Imperialism."* London: Routledge
- Eichberg H. 1990. Stronger, funnier, deadlier: track and field on the way to the ritual of the record. See Carter & Krüger 1990, pp. 123–34
- Eichberg H. 1998. *Body Cultures: Essays on Sport, Space and Identity*. London: Routledge
- Eichberg H. 2008. Olympic anthropology days and the progress of exclusion: toward an anthropology of democracy. See Brownell 2008a, pp. 343–82
- Elias N. 1939. *Über den Prozeß der Zivilisation. Soziogenetische und psychogenetische Untersuchungen*. Basel: Verlag Haus Falken (2000. *The Civilizing Process: Sociogenetic and Psychogenetic Investigations*. Oxford: Blackwell. Rev. transl.)
- Eriksen TH. 2007. Steps to an ecology of transnational sports. *Glob. Netw.* 7:132–65
- Finney BR, Houston JD. 1996. *Surfing: A History of the Ancient Hawaiian Sport*. Petaluma, CA: Pomegranate. 2nd ed.
- Foster RJ. 2006. From Trobriand cricket to rugby nation: the mission of sport in Papua New Guinea. *Int. J. Hist. Sport* 23:739–58
- Foucault M. 1977. *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*. Transl. A Sheridan. New York: Vintage
- Foucault M. 1978. *The History of Sexuality. Volume I: An Introduction*. Transl. R Hurley. New York: Vintage

- Geertz C. 1972. Deep play: notes on the Balinese cockfight. *Dædalus* 101(1):1–37
- Gems GR. 2006. *The Athletic Crusade: Sport and American Cultural Imperialism*. Lincoln: Univ. Neb. Press
- Giulianotti R, Robertson R. 2004. The globalization of football: a study in the glocalization of the “serious life.” *Br. J. Sociol.* 55(4):545–68
- Giulianotti R, Robertson R. 2007a. Forms of glocalization: globalization and the migration strategies of Scottish football fans in North America. *Sociology* 41(1):133–52
- Giulianotti R, Robertson R, eds. 2007b. *Globalization and Sport*. Malden, MA: Blackwell
- Giulianotti R, Robertson R. 2009. *Globalization and Football*. London: Sage
- Guttman A. 1978. *From Ritual to Record: The Nature of Modern Sports*. New York: Columbia Univ. Press
- Guttman A. 1990. Rituals, records, responses. See Carter & Krüger 1990, pp. 153–60
- Guttman A. 1994. *Games and Empires: Modern Sports and Cultural Imperialism*. New York: Columbia Univ. Press
- Hannerz U. 1990. Cosmopolitans and locals in world culture. *Theory Cult. Soc.* 7(2):237–51
- Harris JC, Park RJ, eds. 1983. *Play, Games and Sports in Cultural Contexts*. Champaign, IL: Hum. Kinet.
- Hartmann D. 2004. *Race, Culture, and the Revolt of the Black Athlete: The 1968 Olympic Protests and Their Aftermath*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Hartmann-Tews I, Pfister G, eds. 2003. *Sport and Women: Social Issues in International Perspective*. London: Routledge
- Henry I. 2005. *Playing along: sport as a means for social integration*. Presented at Conf. Sport Dev., 2nd, 4–6 Dec., Magglingen, Switz.
- Hoberman J. 2007. Race and athletics in the twenty-first century. In *Physical Culture, Power, and the Body*, ed. J Hargreaves, P Vertinsky, pp. 208–31. London: Routledge
- Hokowhitu B. 2004. Tackling Māori masculinity: genealogy of savagery and sport. *Contemp. Pac.* 16:259–84
- Horne J, Manzenreiter W. 2002. *Japan, Korea and the 2002 World Cup*. New York: Routledge
- Horne J, Manzenreiter W. 2006. *Sports Mega-Events: Social Scientific Analyses of a Global Phenomenon*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell
- Huizinga J. 1970. *Homo Ludens: A Study of the Play Element in Culture*. New York: Harper & Row
- Hum. Kinet. 2001. Special issue: re-examination of *From Ritual to Record: A Retrospective Critique*. *Sport Hist. Rev.* 32(1):1–57
- Inst. Sport Leis. Policy. 2004. Studies on education and sport: sport and multiculturalism (lot 3), final report. *Rep. Eur. Comm. DG Educ. Cult.*, Loughborough Univ., Leicestershire, UK
- Jackson SJ, Hokowhitu B. 2002. Sport, tribes, and technology: the New Zealand All Blacks haka and the politics of identity. *J. Sport Soc. Issues* 26:125–39
- James CLR. 1963. *Beyond a Boundary*. London: Stanley Paul
- Jonsson H, Holthuysen J, eds. 2011. *Contests in Context: Readings in the Anthropology of Sports*. Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt
- Joo RM. 2012. *Transnational Sport: Gender, Media, and Global Korea*. Durham, NC: Duke Univ. Press
- Kang SP, MacAloon J, DaMatta R, eds. 1988. *The Olympics and Cultural Exchange: The Papers of the First International Conference on the Olympics and East/West and South/North Cultural Exchange in the World System*. Seoul: Hanyang Univ. Press
- Kelly JD. 2006. *The American Game: Capitalism, Decolonization, World Domination, and Baseball*. Chicago: Prickly Paradigm
- Kelly WW. 1998. Blood and guts in Japanese professional baseball. In *The Culture of Japan as Seen Through Its Leisure*, ed. S Linhard, S Frühstück, pp. 95–111. Albany, NY: SUNY Press
- Kelly WW. 2007. Is baseball a global sport? America’s “national pastime” as global field and international sport. *Glob. Netw.* 7:187–201
- Kelly WW. 2009. Samurai baseball: the vicissitudes of a national sporting style. *Int. J. Hist. Sport* 26:429–41
- Kennett C. 2004. *Sport, immigration and multiculturalism: a conceptual*. Presented at Foro Eur. Cult. Deporte Prox., America, May 5; [http://olympicstudies.uab.es/pdf/wp103\\_eng.pdf](http://olympicstudies.uab.es/pdf/wp103_eng.pdf)
- Kestnbaum E. 2003. *Culture on Ice: Figure Skating and Cultural Meaning*. Middletown, CT: Wesleyan Univ. Press
- Klein AM. 1991. *Sugarball: The American Game, the Dominican Dream*. New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Press



- Klein AM. 1993. *Little Big Men: Bodybuilding Subculture and Gender Construction*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press
- Klein AM. 1997. *Baseball on the Border: A Tale of Two Laredos*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press
- Klein AM. 2006. *Growing the Game: The Globalization of Major League Baseball*. New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Press
- Kohn T. 2010. Appropriating an authentic bodily practice from Japan: on “being there,” “having been there” and “virtually being there.” In *Ownership and Appropriation*, ed. V Strang, M Busse, pp. 65–86. Oxford: Berg
- Krause EL. 1998. “The bead of raw sweat in a field of dainty perspirers”: nationalism, whiteness, and the Olympic-class ordeal of Tonya Harding. *Transform. Anthropol.* 7:33–52
- Laberge S, Kay J. 2002. Pierre Bourdieu’s sociocultural theory and sport practice. In *Theory, Sport and Society*, ed. J Maguire, K Young, pp. 239–66. Oxford: JAI
- Lanfranchi P, Taylor M. 2001. *Moving with the Ball: The Migration of Professional Footballers*. Oxford: Berg
- Leach JW, Kildea G. 1975. *Trobriand cricket: an ingenious response to colonialism*. Video rec., produced by Off. Inf. Gov. Papua New Guinea
- Lever J. 1984. *Soccer Madness: Brazil’s Passion for the World’s Most Popular Sport*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Lévi-Strauss C. 1962. *La Pensée Sauvage*. Paris: Plon
- Linder F. 2007. Life as art, and seeing the promise of big bodies. *Am. Ethnol.* 34:451–72
- Lu YZ. 2010. Hope lies in the revival of Eastern sport culture. See Ren et al. 2010, pp. 81–92
- MacAloon JJ. 1984. Olympic Games and the theory of spectacle in modern societies. In *Rite, Drama, Festival, Spectacle: Rehearsals Toward a Theory of Cultural Performance*, ed. JJ MacAloon, pp. 241–80. Philadelphia: Inst. Study Hum. Issues
- MacAloon JJ. 1996. Humanism as political necessity? Reflections on the pathos of anthropological science in Olympic contexts. *Quest* 48:67–81
- MacAloon JJ. 2006. The theory of spectacle: reviewing Olympic ethnography. In *National Identity and Global Sports Events*, ed. A Tomlinson, C Young, pp. 15–39. Albany, NY: SUNY Press
- MacAloon JJ, ed. 2007. *Muscular Christianity in Colonial and Post-Colonial Worlds*. London: Routledge
- MacClancy J, ed. 1996. *Sport, Identity and Ethnicity*. Oxford: Berg
- Magee J, Sugden J. 2002. The world at their feet: professional football and international labor migration. *J. Sport Soc. Issues* 26:421–37
- Maguire J. 1999. *Global Sport: Identities, Societies, Civilizations*. Cambridge: Polity
- Maguire J. 2005. *Power and Global Sport: Zones of Prestige, Emulation and Resistance*. Abingdon: Routledge
- Mangan JA. 1981. *Athleticism in the Victorian and Edwardian Public School: The Emergence and Consolidation of an Educational Ideology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Manzenreiter W, Spitaler G. 2011. *Governance, Citizenship and the New European Football Championships: The European Spectacle*. New York: Routledge
- Marvin G. 2010. Animal and human bodies in the landscapes of English foxhunting. See Coleman & Kohn 2010, pp. 91–108
- Mauss M. 1934. Les techniques du corps. In *Marcel Mauss: Sociologie et Anthropologie*, pp. 363–86. Paris: Press. Univ. France
- McDougall C. 2009. *Born to Run: A Hidden Tribe, Superathletes, and the Greatest Race the World Has Never Seen*. New York: Vintage
- McMahon FR. 2009. *Not Just Child’s Play: Emerging Tradition and the Lost Boys of Sudan*. Jackson: Univ. Press Miss.
- Mentore GP. 2005. *Of Passionate Curves and Desirable Cadences: Themes on Waiwai Social Being*. Lincoln: Univ. Neb. Press
- Miller T, Lawrence G, McKay J, Rowe D. 1999. Modifying the sign: sport and globalization. *Soc. Text* 17:15–33
- Nauright J. 2010. *The Long Run to Freedom: Sport, Cultures, and Identities in South Africa*. Morgantown, WV: Fit. Inf. Technol.
- Ortner SB. 2006. *Anthropology and Social Theory: Culture, Power, and the Acting Subject*. Durham, NC: Duke Univ. Press
- Palmer C, ed. 2002. Special issue on anthropology and sport. *Austr. J. Anthropol.* 13(3):253–377

- Paraschak V. 1997. Variations in race relations: sporting events for native peoples in Canada. *Sociol. Sport J.* 14(1):1–21
- Parezo N. 2008. A “Special Olympics” testing racial strength and endurance at the 1904 Louisiana Purchase Exposition. See Brownell 2008a, pp. 59–126
- Rabinowitz D. 1997. *Overlooking Nazareth: The Ethnography of Exclusion in Galilee*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Rapport N. 2010. Bob, hospital bodybuilder: the integrity of the body, the transitiveness of “work” and “leisure.” See Coleman & Kohn 2010, pp. 23–37
- Read KE. 1965. *The High Valley: An Autobiographical Account of Two Years Spent in the Central Highlands of New Guinea*. New York: Scribner
- Ren H, DaCosta L, Miragaya A, eds. 2010. *Olympic Studies Reader: A Multidisciplinary and Multicultural Research Guide*. Beijing: Beijing Sport Univ. Press
- Roberts JM, Arth MJ, Bush RR. 1959. Games in culture. *Am. Anthropol.* 61:597–605
- Roche M. 2000. *Mega-Events and Modernity: Olympics and Expos in the Growth of Global Culture*. London: Routledge
- Rothenbuhler E. 1988. The living room celebration of the Olympic Games. *J. Commun.* 38(4): 61–81
- Sands RR, ed. 1999. *Anthropology, Sport, and Culture*. New York: Bergin & Garvey
- Sands RR. 2001. *Sport Ethnography*. Champaign, IL: Hum. Kinet.
- Sands RR, Sands LR, eds. 2010. *The Anthropology of Sport and Human Movement: A Biocultural Perspective*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books
- Scott JC. 1998. *Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed*. New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Press
- Shor E, Yonay Y. 2011. “Play and shut up”: the silencing of Palestinian athletes in Israeli media. *Ethnic Racial Stud.* 34:229–47
- Silverstein PA. 2000. Sporting faith: Islam, soccer, and the French nation-state. *Soc. Text* 18:25–53
- Sorek T. 2010. *Arab Soccer in a Jewish State: The Integrative Enclave*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Stern O. 2011. *The Passion of Tiger Woods: An Anthropologist Reports on Golf, Race, and Celebrity Scandal*. Durham, NC: Duke Univ. Press
- Stokvis R. 2006. The emancipation of bodybuilding. *Sport Soc.* 9:463–79
- Strange S. 1986. *Casino Capitalism*. London: Blackwell
- Symons C. 2010. *The Gay Games: A History*. London: Routledge
- Taylor M. 2006. Global players? Football, migration and globalization, c. 1930–2000. *Hist. Soc. Res.* 31:7–30
- Teaiwa TK, Mallon S. 2005. Ambivalent kinships? Pacific people in New Zealand. In *New Zealand Identities: Departures and Destinations*, ed. J Liu, T McCreanor, T McIntosh, T Teaiwa, pp. 207–29. Wellington: Victoria Univ. Press
- Tierney RK. 2007. From popular performance to national sport: the nationalization of Sumo. In *This Sporting Life: Sports and Body Culture in Modern Japan*, ed. WW Kelly, pp. 67–89. New Haven, CT: Cent. East Asian Stud., Yale Univ.
- Turner V. 1969. *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press
- Turner V. 1982. *From Ritual to Theatre: The Human Seriousness of Play*. New York: Perform. Arts J. Publ.
- Wacquant L. 2003. *Body and Soul: Notebooks of an Apprentice Boxer*. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- Walker IH. 2008. Hui Nalu, beachboys, and the surfing boarder-lands of Hawai‘i. *Contemp. Pac.* 20:89–113
- Whiting R. 1977. *The Cbrysanthemum and the Bat: Baseball Samurwai Style*. New York: Dodd Mead
- Whiting R. 1989. *You Gotta Have Wa*. New York: Vintage
- Whittington EM. 2001. *The Sport of Life and Death: The Mesoamerican Ballgame*. London: Thames & Hudson
- Zogry MJ. 2010. *Anetso, the Cherokee Ball Game: At the Center of Ceremony and Identity*. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. C. Press