STRATEGIES OF DECISION

HOW TO SOLVE PROBLEMS IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS

WHY IS RADICAL POLICY CHANGE SO DIFFICULT?

Two main obstacles:

- Conflicts and oppositions by those who suffer the costs of a decision (opponents)
- Disinterest of potential allies those actors who could provide resources which are necessary for getting approval

OPTIMAL SITUATIONS ARE RARE:

- The promoter has enough resources to take the decision on his own
- The solution is a win-win game
- Goals are shared by all actors and hence collaboration is the normal mode of interaction
- The context is stable

WHAT IS A STRATEGY?

It is the intentional manipulation of some elements of the policy process as to obtain a configuration favourable to taking a non-incremental decision

FOUR POSSIBLE STRATEGIES

- I. Manipulating resources
- 2. Manipulating the content of the decision
- 3. Manipulating modes of interactions
- 4. Manipulating the network

I. Manipulating resources

TWO WAYS OF MANIPULATING RESOURCES

- I. Increasing the resources of the innovator by finding allies. Example: Vele di Scampia
- 2. Decrease the resources of the opponents. Example: Diaccia Botrona (but also expert oppositions, judicial appeals, etc.).

LEVELE DI SCAMPIA



DIACCIA BOTRONA



 I 987 permit renovation to Federcaccia

WWF:

- Asks to obtain the concession of the area to the Ministry of Finance
- Asks to the Ministry of the Environment the transformation into a natural reserve
- Obtains EU funding
- Obtains the confiscation of the area

WHY MANIPULATING RESOURCES

ADVANTAGES:

- No need to change the proposed solution, nor the problem to be tackled
- You can use it also with zero-sum games

HOWEVER:

- Everyone can use it; especially the defenders of the status quo – So watch the timing
- It does not eliminate oppositions, but helps to wake up consent and interest

2. manipulating the content of the decision

THERE ARE TWO WAYS TO DO IT:

You can alter the content of the decision through:

I. SEGMENTATION: you cut the decision into pieces

2. ENLARGEMENT: you add projects and details and change the proposal

SEGMENTATION

You can introduce radical changes through a series of incremental steps if:

- The context is relatively stable
- There is continuity in the direction of the process
- The decision is amenable to be segmented

The main advantage is to neutralise the opposition which are more radical

ENLARGING THE STAKE

- You have to internalise new interests by adding projects
- BEWARE: The new elements to be included should be those cared for by the opponents
- The main condition for success is the flexibility of the original proposal

Examples: package deals, compensations, ...

3. Manipulating modes of interactions

WHAT TO DO

- I. Show-down (go directly to conflict)
- 2. Inclusive strategies (make the actors collaborate)

SHOW DOWN – EXCLUSIVE STRATEGIES

Examples: Vote of confidence, Referenda

- You need to be able to act unilaterally
- Resource balance should be in favor of the innovator
- Implementation should not be a problem

INCLUSIVE STRATEGIES

Modes of increasing consensus by changing how actors interact:

Participatory decisions

How to: you need to divide the process in two phases: I) how to decide; 2) taking the decision

SOME CONDITIONS ARE NEEDED

- The problem is shared by the actors. There should be a conflict on interests, more than values
- There are limited alternatives and criteria and there is a rational way to agree on the criteria
- The main advantages regard: «waking the sleeping dog», gaining legitimacy, collecting information

4. Network manipulation

IT MEANS CHANGING THE NETWORK OF ACTORS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS

- Increase the number of interactions (Trust? Learning?) or decrease direct interactions (Diplomacy)
- Increase/decrease complexity (change the types of actors included or their territorial level)
- Modify centrality

A general 'law' of decisional processes

The complexity should couple the complexity of the policy, i.e. the number and diversity of actors/interests involved in the problem/solution