| CONCENTRATION MOLES/LITER | METABOLITES/IONS | THERAFEUTIC DRUGS | STEROID AND AMINO ACID MORMONES | PROTEIN/POPLYPEPTIDE HORMONES | ANTIBODIES |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10 ⁻¹ 10 ⁻² | SODIUM CHLORIDE | ETHANOL | | | |
| (mM) 10 ⁻³ | UREA CHOLESTEROL | | | | |
| | CALCIUM TRIGLYCERIDES | SALICYLATE ACETAMINOPHEN | | | IgG (Total) |
| 10 ⁻⁴ | PHENYLANINE | THEOPHYLLINE | | | |
| 10 ⁻⁵ | AMMONIA IRON BILIRUBIN | GENTAMICIN | | | |
| (此) 10 ⁻⁶ | | | CORTISOL | THYROXINE BINDING GLOBULIN BLACENTAL LACTOCEN | IgM (Total) |
| 10 ⁻⁷ | | (76) | (Total) | PLACENTAL LACTOGEN | IgG (SPECIFC) |
| 10 ⁻⁸ (nM) 10 ⁻⁹ | | DIGOXIN | CORTICOSTERONE T ₃ ESTRADIOL (Total) PROGESTERONE | PROLACTIN HCG | SYPHILIS RJEELLA ETC. |
| | | | T ₄ (Free) | INSULIN | IgE (Total) |
| 10 ⁻¹⁰ | | | ALDOSTERONE | PARATHYROID HORMONE HGH (Growth Hermone) |) |
| 10-11 | | | TSH (Thyroxine Stim. Hormone) | In (Incomments not | |
| (pM) 10 ⁻¹² | | | ANGIOTENSIN OXYTOCIN VASOPRESFIN | | |

FIGURE 2. CLASSES OF CLINICALLY SIGNIFICANT ANALYTES AS A FUNCTION OF CONCENTRATION IN THE SAMPLE.

Enzyme Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay (ELISA)



Types of ELISA —



Introduction to Antibodies - Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

An assay for quantitating either antibody or antigen by use of an enzyme linked antibody and a substrate that forms a colored reaction product.

Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISAs) combine the specificity of antibodies with the sensitivity of simple enzyme assays, by using antibodies or antigens coupled to an easily assayed enzyme that possesses a high turnover number. ELISAs can provide a useful measurement of antigen or antibody concentration.

Sandwich ELISA Assays

To utilize this assay, one antibody (the "capture" antibody) is purified and bound to a solid phase typically attached to the bottom of a plate well. Antigen is then added and allowed to complex with the bound antibody. Unbound products are then removed with a wash, and a labeled second antibody (the "detection" antibody) is allowed to bind to the antigen, thus completing the "sandwich". The assay is then quantitated by measuring the amount of labeled second antibody bound to the matrix, through the use of a colorimetric substrate. Major advantages of this technique are that the antigen does not need to be purified prior to use, and that these assays are very specific. However, one disadvantage is that not all antibodies can be used. Monoclonal antibody combinations must be qualified as "matched pairs", meaning that they can recognize separate epitopes on the antigen so they do not hinder each other's binding

Competitive ELISA

Assays

Briefly, an unlabeled purified primary antibody is coated onto the wells of a 96 well microtiter plate. This primary antibody is then incubated with unlabeled standards and unknowns. After this reaction is allowed to go to equilibrium, conjugated immunogen is added. This conjugate will bind to the primary antibody wherever its binding sites are not already occupied by unlabeled immunogen. Thus, the more immunogen in the sample or standard, the lower the amount of conjugated immunogen bound. The plate is then developed with substrate and color change is measured.



Stoufferent P Variations in the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (EUSA) technique allow determination of antibody or antigen. Each assay can be used qualitatively, or quantitatively by comparison with standard curves prepared with known concentrations of antibody or antigen. Antibody can be determined with an indirect EUSA (a), whereas antigen can be determined with a sandwich EUSA (b) or competitive ELISA (c). In the competitive EUSA, which is an inhibition-type assay, the concentration of antigen is inversely proportional to the color produced.

Antibodies

 Proteins secreted by B-lymphocytes (type of white blood cell), in vertebrates.



Recognise and bind to molecules
(antigens) on foreign particles,
marking them for destruction by T lymphocytes.

 Each antigen may generate several antibodies for different sites (epitopes) on antigen.





Immunoassays

A Noncompetitive immunoassay $Ab \rightarrow \infty$ for maximum sensitivity

Measurement of occupied sites





Two-site labelled antibody assay

Single-site labelled antibody assay

B Competitive immunoassay $Ab \rightarrow 0$ for maximum sensitivity

Measurement of unoccupied sites



Key

C Labelled antigen

Labelled anti-idiotypic antibody

- Labelled antibody
- Analyte

Figure 6 Basic competitive and noncompetitive immunoassay designs. The distinction between noncompetitive (a) and competitive immunoassays (b) reflects the way in which antibody binding site occupancy is observed. Labelled antibody methods are noncompetitive if occupied sites of the (labelled) antibody are directly measured, but are competitive (*Bc*) when unoccupied sites are measured. Labelled antigen (*Ba*) or labelled anti-idiotypic antibody methods (*Bb*) rely on measurement of sites unoccupied by analyte, and are therefore invariably of competitive design.



FIGURE 17.3 Schematic drawing of a sandwich immunoassay with typical calibration curve.



FIGURE 17.4 Competitive immunoassay: (a) competitive binding of analyte and labeled analyte to a limited number of antibody binding sites, (b) immobilized antigen competes with free antigens in solution for binding sites of the labeled antibody, (c) calibration curve obtained with either approach of the competitive assay type.

| Overview of Immu Assay method | Label | Detected | Detector |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| RIA | ¹²⁵ [, ³]H, ¹⁴ C | Radiation | Scintillation counter |
| (radioimmunoassay) | | | Dhotomatar |
| EIA (enzyme | HRP | Color change | Photometer |
| immunoassay) | AP | (absorbance) | |
| | β-D-galactosidase | | |
| | HRP, AP, galactosidase | Fluorescence | Fluorimeter |
| | HRP | Luminescence | Luminometer |
| | HRP. AP, GOD, catalase | Current | Amperometric electrode |
| FrIA | Fluorescein | Fluorescence | Fluorimeter |
| (fluoroimmunoassay) | rhodamines, dansyl chloride, cumarines, phycoerythrin, also | | |
| | liposomes | | |
| TR-FrIA (time-resolved FIA) | Lanthanoid cations: Eu ³⁺ , Tb ³⁺ , Sm ³⁺ | Delayed fluorescence | Time-resolved fluorimeter |
| LIA (luminescence | Acridinium esters | Chemi- and | Luminometer |
| immunoassay) | Dioxetanes | bioluminescence | |
| minunou.ouj) | Peroxyoxalates | | |
| | Luminol | | |
| | Luciferase/luciferin | | |
| | Peroxidase | | |
| | Pyrene | Electroluminescence | Electrode luminometer |
| Electrochemical | Metallocenes | Current | DPP (differential pulse |
| immunoassavs | Metals | | polarograph) |
| mmunoussujo | GOD, catalase | | DPASV (differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry) |
| | Urease | Ions (potential | Potentiometric electrode |
| | Liposomes | change) | |

TABLE 17.1Overview of Immunoassay Techniques

Note: AP: alkaline phosphatase, GOD: glucose oxidase, HRP: horseradish peroxidase.

possible immunoassays schemes



$$y = \frac{a - d}{(1 + (x/c)^{b})} + d$$

- a = (theoretical) response at low concentration/dilution
- b = absolute value of the slope at the inflection point
- c = value of x at inflection point
- d = (theoretical) response at high concentration/dilution
- x = concentration or dilution
- y = response (OD)

Typical calibration curve for immunoassay



Figure 1. Typical 4-parameter logistic graph for a competitiveformat immunoassay.

Enzime Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay (ELISA)

COMPETITIVE TEST

 \Rightarrow WITH IMMOBIZED ANTIGEN









À la carte ELISA Systems

| | | | | | 4 |
|-----|--------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| 120 | Almond | Beta- Lactoglobulin | Buckwheat | Casein | Crustacean |
| | | | | 876 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | |
| | Egg | Gluten | Hazelnut | Lupin | Mustard |
| E) | | | | | |
| No. | | Contraction of the second | | | |
| | Peanut | Sesame | Soy | | |

Polyclonal antibodies production



Monoclonal antibodies

Recombinant antibodies











OTA = ochratoxin A

$f(x) = \{(a - d)/[1 + (x/c)b]\} + d$

| | Co | Linear regression | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| | a (A or nA) | b (nA.ng.ml ⁻¹) | c (ng.ml ⁻¹) | d (A or nA) | |
| ic spettr | 1.220 ± 0.053 | 1.40 ± 0.50 | 0.80 ± 0.22 | 0.129 ± 0.077 | $f(x) = 49.3 (\pm 0.8) - 57.5 (\pm 0.1) x$ [r = 0.991] |
| ic amp. | 6019 ± 118 | 0.90 ± 0.22 | 0.93 ± 0.10 | 176 ± 30 | $f(x) = 52.5 (\pm 0.4) - 43.7 (\pm 0.5) x$ $[r = 0.994]$ |
| dc spettr | 1.392 ± 0.061 | 2.17 ± 0.15 | 0.80 ± 0.14 | 0.132 ± 0.071 | $f(x) = 47.4 (\pm 0.7) - 86.0 (\pm 0.3) x$ $[r = 0.993]$ |
| dc amp. | 707 ± 56 | 1.10±0.10 | 0.35 ± 0.04 | 16±13 | $f(x) = 34.9 (\pm 0.6) - 52.2 (\pm 0.9) x$ $[r = 0.992]$ |

| Immunoassay | Working Range (ng/ml) | L.O.D. (Blank – 3 σ) (ng/ml) |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| ic spettr | 0.20 - 2.5 | 0.150 |
| ic amp. | 0.10 - 7.5 | 0.120 |
| dc spettr | 0.10 - 10 | 0.080 |
| dc amp. | 0.05 – 2.5 | 0.060 |

Immunosensor procedure:

✓Pre-coating: 6 μ l of rabbit anti IgG (4° C overnight)

✓ Blocking: 6 µl of 1 % PVA
(polyvinyl alcohol) (30 min)

 $\checkmark \textit{Coating: 6 } \mu \textit{l}$ of anti-OTA Ab (1 h)

 \checkmark Competition: 6 µl of OTA-AP + standard/sample (30 min)

✓ Detection: 100 of 5 mg/ml 1-Naphtylphosfate (2 min) + DPV

washings: 150 μl phosphate buffer pH 7.4

effect of extraction solvent

Activity of an electrode modified with IgG-ALP after 30 min incubation with 1:9 -9:1 solutions (1:1 in DPBS) acetonitrile:water \rightarrow 95-108%

Sensitivity of the calibration curve ~ 50%





25 g in 100 mL di ACN:H₂O Final dilution 1:8 MRL = 3 ng/g I_{50} = 1.6 ng/g

| Parameters | | 0.1 PBS Buffer • | ACN:H ₂ O (6:4) ▲ | Wheat Extract (blank) ■ |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| а | (nA) | 707 (± 56) | 260 (± 12) | 408 (± 72) |
| b | (nA.ng.ml ⁻¹) | 1.1 (± 0.1) | 0.62 (± 0.03) | 0.8 (± 0.1) |
| c (I ₅₀) | (ng.ml ⁻¹) | 0.35 (± 0.04) | 0.32 (± 0.02) | 0.20 (± 0.03) |
| d | (nA) | 16 (± 13) | 24 (± 8) | 13 (± 15) |
| w.r. | (ng.ml ⁻¹) | 0.05 - 2.5 | 0.02 - 5.0 | 0.05 - 2.5 |
| L.O.D. | (ng.ml ⁻¹) | 0.06 | 0.015 | 0.05 |
| Lin. | Reg. | $30.9 (\pm 0.6) - 52.2 (\pm 0.9) x$ | 42.3 $(\pm 0.3) - 25.4 \ (\pm 0.6) \ x$ | 23.5 $(\pm 0.1) - 41.1 \ (\pm 0.5) \ x$ |
| | | | | |

LATERAL FLOW IMMUNOASSAYS











SPR Biosensors



Surface Plasmon Resonance





Carboxylated dextran Linker layer

Gold film

dextran hydrogel open structure (good accessibility) • enhand the intervention stagnation flow network

no denaturation

- enhancement of the capacity of the interaction layer
- stagnant layer / mass transport flow needed (μl/min)
- negative charge
- regenerable (up to 100 x)

SPR principles

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) arises when light is reflected under certain conditions from a conducting film at the interface between two media of different refractive index. the media are the <u>sample</u> and the <u>glass</u> of the sensor chip, and the conducting film is a thin layer of gold on the chip surface. SPR causes a reduction in the intensity of reflected light at a specific angle of reflection. This angle varies with the refractive index close to the surface on the side opposite from the reflected light.

When molecules in the sample bind to the sensor surface, the concentration and therefore the refractive index at the surface changes and an SPR response is detected. Plotting the response against time during the course of an interaction provides a quantitative measure of the progress of the interaction. This plot is called a sensorgram.

What Biacore actually measures is the angle of minimum reflected light intensity. The light is not absorbed by the sample: instead the light energy is dissipated through SPR in the gold film. Thus the light used to detect interaction processes never enters the sample.

SPR response values are expressed in resonance units (RU). One RU represents a change of 0.0001° in the angle of the intensity minimum. For most proteins, this is roughly equivalent to a change in concentration of about 1 pg/mm2 on the sensor surface. The exact conversion factor between RU and surface concentration depends on properties of the sensor surface and the nature of the molecule responsible for the concentration change.

Immobilisation of organic molecules on gold















Smartphone detection of E.coli in water




Image: Kort Bremer, Hanover Centre for Optical Technologies



Miscoscope based on smartphone for virus detection







ELISA reading using a Smartphone

Piezoelectric Biosensors











BIOFETs

An **ion-sensitive field-effect transistor** (**ISFET**) is a <u>field-effect transistor</u> used for measuring ion concentrations in solution; when the ion concentration (such as <u>H</u>⁺, see <u>pH</u> scale) changes, the current through the <u>transistor</u> will change accordingly. Here, the solution is used as the gate electrode. A voltage between substrate and <u>oxide</u> surfaces arises due to an <u>ion</u> sheath. It is a special type of <u>MOSFET</u> (metaloxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor),^[1] and shares the same basic structure, but with the <u>metal gate</u> replaced by an ion-sensitive <u>membrane</u>, <u>electrolyte</u> solution and <u>reference electrode</u>.^[2] Invented in 1970, the ISFET was the first <u>biosensor</u> <u>FET</u> (BioFET) source wikipedia



Bio-FETs couple a <u>transistor</u> device with a bio-sensitive layer that can specifically detect bio-molecules such as nucleic acids and proteins. A Bio-FET system consists of a semiconducting <u>field-effect transistor</u> that acts as a <u>transducer</u> separated by an insulator layer (e.g. <u>SiO₂</u>) from the biological recognition element (e.g. receptors or probe molecules) which are selective to the target molecule called analyte.^[8] Once the analyte binds to the recognition element, the charge distribution at the surface changes with a corresponding change in the electrostatic surface potential of the semiconductor. This change in the surface potential of the semiconductor acts like a gate voltage would in a traditional <u>MOSFET</u>, i.e. changing the amount of current that can flow between the source and drain electrodes.^[9] This change in current (or <u>conductance</u>) can be measured, thus the binding of the analyte can be detected. The precise relationship between the current and analyte concentration depends upon the <u>region of transistor</u> operation (source Wikipedia)





DNA biosensors



Principles of DNA biosensors

Nucleic acid hybridization



Perfect match

stable dsDNA, strong hybridization





One or more base mismatches weak hybridization



Forms of DNA Biosensors

- Electrodes
- Chips
- Crystals

Types of DNA Based Biosensors

- Optical
- Electrochemical
- Piezoelectric



Thiolated DNA for self assembly onto gold (or platinum) transducers



Covalent linkage to the gold surface via functional alkanethiol-based monolayers



➤ Use of biotinylated DNA for complex formation with a surfaceconfined avidin or streptavidin



Covalent (carbodiimide) coupling to functional groups on carbon electrodes for carboxyl groups supplying.



Immobilization method of DNA probes on functionalized surfaces.

| Immobilization Method | Interaction or Reaction | Advantages | Drawbacks |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| | Charge-charge interaction or | Simple | Desorption by change of ionic strength or pH |
| Distant days | | Fast | Random orientation |
| Physical Adsorption | Hydrophobic interaction | Direct method (no linker molecules) | Desorption by detergent |
| | | Advantages Simple Fast Direct method (no linker molecules) Suitable to DNA, RNA, and PNA Good stability High binding strength Use during long term Improved orientation High specificity and functionality Well-controlled Reversible | Problem of crowding effect and poor reproducibility |
| Covalent bonding | Chemical bonding | Good stability | Use of linker molecules |
| | | High binding strength | Slow, Irreversible |
| | | Use during long term | Problem of crowding effect |
| | | | Island formation |
| | | Improved orientation | Expensive, Slow |
| Streptavidin-Biotin interactions | Specific Streptavindin-Biotin interaction | High specificity and functionality | Problem of crowding effect |
| | | Well-controlled | Use of biocompatible linker |
| | | Reversible | Poor reproducibility |

DNA electrochemical biosensors



DNA electrochemical biosensors application



• Development of an Hybridisation sensor



Synthesis of a DNA fragment (probe, bioreceptor) containing the sequence of interest (analytical problem)

Immobilisation of the probe onto the solid support of the sensor (surface) (thiol/dextran/streptavidin/biotinylated probe)

Extraction of the DNA from the real sample (blood, water, food) and amplification of the sequence of interest (sample pretreatment)

Denaturation of the dsDNA (amplified fragment or genomic) to obtain a singlestranded DNA (sample pretreatment)

Hybridisation of the obtained ssDNA with the immobilised probe

Changes in the physicochemical parameters of the layer formed on the transducer (quartz crystal or gold –glass chip)

Probe immobilisation on gold film

optical





piezoeletric

thiol/dextran/streptavidin/biotinylated probe



Specificity, no aspecific adsorption, stability, multi-use

Hybridation-Regenation Cycle



Detection of DNA target sequence in real matrices

- Certified Reference Materials (CRM) -Fluka
- Processed food (dietetic snaks, soy crackers, soft drinks)

1. PCR amplified DNA

Processing the sample

- Extraction of DNA from samples (CTAB method)
- Amplification of DNA by PCR (Pietsh K. et al. 1997)
- Dilution with *Hybridisation buffer*. NaCl 150 mM, Na2HPO4 20 mM, EDTA 0.1 mM, pH 7.4
- Denaturation to obtain ssDNA from amplified dsDNA

Control: Post PCR Electrophoresis



A: amplified fragment (Promoter 35S 195 bp) B: Standard length fragments

Piezoelectric sensor, CRM 2% samples and processed food samples

Sample pre-treatment: PCR amplified DNA, thermal denaturation



DL: 0,3 ppm CV% 6 (n=3) 5'-BIOT-ggc cat cgt tga aga tgc ctc tgc c-3'probe 35S3'- ccg gat gca act tct acg gag acg g-5target 35S

Biomimetic receptors

Obtained via combinatorial chemistry and/or molecular modelling

MIP (Molecularly imprinted polymers) Peptides Aptamers

Functional Monomer Database



F17 TRIFLUOROMETHACRYLIC ACID

1

è.

Combinatorial chemistry approach: Synthesys of aminocids via split and mix

F F

p



| | | | sp | nic synthesis |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Stage | Reaction vessel 1 (A) | Reaction vessel 1 (B) | Reaction vessel 1 (C) | |
| 1 | Resin + A | Resin + B | Resin + C | 3 compounds |
| | | MIX | | |
| 2 | Resin-A+A Resin-B+A Resin-C+A | Resin-A+B Resin-B+B Resin-C+B | ? | 9 compounds |
| | | MIX | | |
| 3 | Resin-A-A+A Resin-B-A+A Resin-C-A+A Resin-A-B+A Resin-B-B+A Resin-C-B+A Resin-A-C+A Resin-B-C+A Resin-C-C+A | Resin-A-A+B Resin-C-A+B Resin-A-B+B Resin-B-B+B Resin-C-B+B Resin-A-C+B Resin-B-C+B Resin-C-C+B | Resin-A-A+C Resin-B-A+C Resin-C-A+C Resin-A-B+C Resin-B-B+C Resin-C-B+C Resin-A-C+C Resin-B-C+C Resin-C-C+C | 27 compounds |
| | | MIX | | |

Molecular modelling

Creatio of ligands on the basis of info present in databases, e.g. Crystallographic structures, primary sequenques etc.

Biomimetic Approach

 \succ

Starting from the biological structure we thought to reproduce with natural amino acids the proper shape of binding dock

➤ The biomimetic approach relies on the design and development of artificial oligopeptides as a mimic of the biological binding site by using molecular modeling

✓ Why oligopeptides?

► Nature exploited aminoacids structures to obtain the most of receptors

>Oligopeptides have the advantage of informatics help from the point of the crystallographic informations from native proteins

➢Great number of combinations using 20 aminoacids which can do any binding traps

BIOMIMETIC RECEPTORS FOR PESTICIDES







Carbamate

✓ Mechanism of AChE inhibition

AChE, the target enzyme of pesticides, is an efficient serine hydrolase that catalyzes the breakdown of acetylcholine (ACh) Acetylcholine + $H_2O \rightarrow$ choline + acetic acid



How pesticides work



Native structure: the active site, including the catalytic triad (S200-H440-E327) and the oxyanion hole (-NH of G118, G119, and A201)

Pro-aged structure: Phosphonylation triggers a conformational change for H440 that disrupts the H-bond to E327



Aged structure: For reaction of AChE with VX and most phosphonates, aging predominates, and dealkylation results in movement of H440 to the negatively charged pocket formed by E327 Ox, S200 Ox, and one anionic oxygen of the dealkylated OP

From Millard et al J.Am.Chem.Soc. 121, (1999)

Computational screening

✓ AChE-OP crystallographic structure (PDB ID: 1VXO)

Methylphosphonylated Acetylcholinesterase (Aged) Obtained By Reaction With O-Ethyl-S-[2-[Bis(1-Methylethyl) Amino]Ethyl] Methylphosphonothioate (Vx) conventional X-ray crystallography resolution [Å]: 2.40



In green the molecular electrostatic potential distribution on the surface of the enzyme binding pocket

✓ Design of the oligopeptides library as possible receptors

The geometry of the binding pocket was investigated to create oligopeptides library



Three dimensional coordinates of the asymmetric carbon (Cα) of each aminoacid involved in the binding pocket were calculated in order to reproduce the geometry observed

✓ Tetrapeptides library

> easy to synthesise

> more possibility to preserve in solution the secondary structure predicted

•A series of tetrapeptides, containing the possible combinations of the catalytic triad (SER 200, HIS 440, GLU 327) and the catalytic oxyanion hole (GLY 118 GLY 119 ALA 201) was drawn

•The proper geometry of binding pocket was achieved using alternatively a GLY or a PRO residue Ser-Gly-His-Glu Ser-Gly-Glu-His His-Glu-Gly-Ser Glu-His-Gly-Ser Ser-Pro-His-Glu Ser-Pro-Glu-His His-Glu-Pro-Ser Glu-His-Pro-Ser Gly-Gly-Ser-Ala Ser-Ala-Gly-Glu Ser-Ala-Gly-His

(24 tetrapeptides)

Glu-Gly-Ser-Ala His-Gly-Ser-Ala Gly-Pro-Ser-Ala Ser-Ala-Pro-Glu Ser-Ala-Pro-His Ser-Ala-Pro-Gly Glu-Pro-Ser-Ala His-Pro-Ser-Ala Gly-Ser-Gly-Ala Ala-Gly-Ser-Gly Ser-Gly-Pro-Ala

✓ Simulated binding results vs paraoxon of the tetrapeptides selected for experimental screening

| | Α | B | С | D | | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| | Ser-Ala- | His-Gly- | Glu-Pro- | His-Glu- | | |
| | Gly-Glu | Ser-Ala | Ser-Ala | Pro-Ser | | |
| Binding Score | | | | | | |
| (KJ/mol) | 38 | 73 | 21 | 93 | | |
| Negative control (NC): Glu-His-Ser-Gly | | | | | | |
| | Primary sequence of AChE catalytic triad | | | | | |



✓ Pre-analytical applications: selective affinity columns (Extraction or purification)



is a technique enabling purification of a biomolecule with respect to biological function or individual chemical structure. The substance to be purified is specifically and reversibly adsorbed to a ligand (binding substance), immobilized by a covalent bond to a chromatographic bed material (matrix). Samples are applied under favourable conditions for their specific binding to the ligand. Substances of interest are consequently bound to the ligand while unbound substances are washed away. Recovery of molecules of interest can be achieved by changing experimental conditions to favour desorption. Aptamers are oligonucleotides (DNA or RNA molecules) that can bind with high affinity and specificity to a wide range of target molecules (proteins, peptides, drugs, vitamins and other organic or inorganic compounds).

They were "discovered" in 1990 by the development of an in vitro selection and amplification technique, known as SELEX (Systematic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential enrichment).

(Ellington et al., Nature 346, 818; Tuerk and Gold, Science 249, 505)

Their name is derived from the Latin word "aptus" which means "to fit".


Starting point: Combinatorial oligonucleotide library



Normally, the starting round contains **10¹⁴-10¹⁵ individual sequences**.





PROTEINS

Syrian golden hamster prion Escherichia coli SelB L-selectin

Tyrosine phosphatase

Ff gene 5

Thrombin HIV-1 Tat

HIV-1 Rev

Vascular endothelial growth factor

Prostate specific antigen

Human IgE

Taq DNA polymerase Iron regulatory protein Human oncostatin M Human neutrophil elastase Human CD4 antigen

Lysozyme

C-reactive protein

Tumor necrosis factor a

NF-KR

Acetylcholine receptor Thyroid transcription factor

INORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Malachite green

Mg²⁺

ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

ATP

FMN Theophylline Organic dyes Cocaine

VITAMINS

Cyanocobalamin Biotin

DRUGS

Neomycin B Streptomycin Tobramycin Tetracyclin Kanamycine A Dopamine

TOXINS

Cholera toxin Staphylococcal enterotoxin B POLLUTANTS AND

CARCINOGENIC COMPOUNDS

4-chloroaniline 2,4,6-trichloroaniline Pentachlorophenol Methylenedianiline

OTHERS

Bacillus anthracis spores

Target molecules



Sensors **2013**, *13*(12), 16292-16311; doi:<u>10.3390/s131216292</u>

Review

Aptamer-Based Analysis: A Promising Alternative for Food Safety Control Sonia Amaya-González, Noemí de-los-Santos-Álvarez, Arturo J. Miranda-Ordieres and Maria Jesús Lobo-Castañón



Molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) are synthetic receptors for a targeted molecule. As such, they are analogues of the natural antibody–antigen systems

DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00171 Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 94-119



Plastic

MIP-State of the art



Advantages of MIPs

- High selectivity and affinity for the target
 - molecule used in the imprinting procedure.

Compared to biological systems such as proteins and nucleic acids MIP has:







02 MIP-State of the art MIP based electrochemical sensors and anomatariala -Z"/ohm Graphene Z'/ohm E +MIPs Carbon nanotubes Electrochemical detection Vol (mL) Template Current Fe₃O₄ NPs Au NPs E

Scheme 2. Schematic illustration of MIP based electrochemical sensors and nanomaterials.

Abdellatif Ait Lahcen[a] and Aziz Amine*[a],2018









Conclusions

| | | Ultrasound | | Electro- |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| | Thermal heating | Bath | Probe | polymerization |
| Complexity | medium | medium | easy | Medium |
| Time of synthesis | 24 h | 2-4 h | 10 min | 10 min |
| Temperature | 60-70 °C | 60-70 °C | 60-70 °C | Room temperature |
| Synthesis of high amount | high | medium | medium | Low |
| Template | All templates except those sensible to high temperature such as proteins, bacterial cells,etc. | All templates except those sensible to high temperature and ultrasonic waves such as proteins, bacterial cells,etc. | | It is preferred that templates are soluble in water |







UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TERAMO



| Δlpa (%) | Repeatability (RSD %) | Reproducibility (RSD %) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 0.5 nM dimethoate (n=3) | 0.68 | 2.72 |
| 1 nM dimethoate (n=3) | 0.95 | 5.51 |



∆Ipa (%) for malathion, parathion and paraoxon after the rebinding step was negligible; **omethoate** gave a response of **23%**.



Wheat flour samples: MIP vs. UHPLC-MS/MS Wheat flour MEPS **MIP-GCE** samples (HDVB) detection **MIP-GCE MIP-GCE** Samples1 **RELATIVE ERROR (%) of dimethoate** SD of dimethoate concentration (µg kg⁻¹) concentration (µg kg⁻¹) Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate 0.5 MRL +13.50.52 2.37 Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate 0.5 MRL + mix +4.6Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate MRL -21.1 1.24 Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate MRL + mix -21.2 1.36 Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate 1.5 MRL +16.7 0.74 Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate 1.5 MRL + mix -0.4 1.69 Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate MRL + omethoate (1:1) 2.70 +3.50.86 Wheat flour spiked with dimethoate MRL + omethoate (1:10) -15.5

DEGLI STUDI

DI TERAMO

Chlorpyriphos

Electrochromic Molecular Imprinting Sensor for Visual and Smartphone-Based Detections







Electrochromic Molecular Imprinting Sensor for Visual and Smartphone-Based Detections

Denise Capoferri,^{†,‡,§} Ruslan Álvarez-Diduk,^{†,§}[©] Michele Del Carlo,[‡] Dario Compagnone,[‡] and Arben Merkoçi*,^{†,1]}[©]

[†]Nanobioelectronics and Biosensor Group, Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICN2), CSIC, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, Bellaterra, 08193, Barcelona, Spain [†]Faculty of Biosciences and Technologies for Food, Agriculture and Environment, University of Teramo, via R. Balzarini 1, 64100 Teramo, Italy

Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Pg. Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain

lisual and



Adhesive plastic film



Electrochromic Molecular Imprinting Sensor for Visual and Smartphone-Based Detections







Electrochromic Molecular Imprinting Sensor for Visual and Smartphone-Based Detections

VISUAL APPROACH







SMARTPHONE APPROACH

Electrochromic Molecular Imprinting Sensor for Visual and Smartphone-Based Detections





MIP vs NIP

SELECTIVITY (500 mV-1000 mV)



Recovery values of chlorpyrifos in spiked drinking water samples (n = 3) using the current response

| Added (Spiked) | Found | Recovery (%) | RSD (%) |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| 500 fM | 517.19 fM | 103.44 ± 16.14 | 15.60 |
| 500 pM | 471.45 pM | 94.29 ± 17.92 | 19.00 |
| 1 nM | 0.99 nM | 99.50 ± 19.90 | 20.00 |
| 1 µM | 0.98 μM | 97.55 ± 25.87 | 26.52 |
| 1 mM | 1.07 mM | 106.57 ± 15.30 | 14.36 |